1	STATE OF LOUISIANA
2	OFFICE OF CONSERVATION
3	GROUND WATER RESOURCES
4	
5	JOINT MEETING
6	IN RE: GROUND WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING
7	& THE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT ADVISORY TASK FORCE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REPORT OF MEETING
14	HELD AT
15	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
16	MARCH 26, 2004
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

1	STATE OF LOUISIANA	
2	OFFICE OF CONSERVATION	
3	GROUND WATER RESOURCES	
4		
5	JOINT MEETING	
6	IN RE: GROUND WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING	
7	& THE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT ADVISORY TASK FORCE	
8		
9		
10	Report of the meeting of the Ground Water Resources	
11	Commission, State of Louisiana, on March 26, 2004, in	
12	Baton Rouge, Louisiana.	
13		
14		
15	COMMISSION MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:	
16	James H. Welsh, Commissioner of Conservation	
17	Mark Gulotta, Louisiana Municipal Association	
18	Linda Walker, Wildlife Federation, Coalition to Restore	
19	Coastal Louisiana and the League of Women Voters	
20	Darwin Knochenmus, Capital Area Groundwater District	
21	Linda Zaunbrecher, Louisiana Farm Bureau	
22	Steve Chustz, Department of Environmental Quality	
23	Mike Taylor, Department of Economic Development	
24	Brad Spicer, Department of Agriculture & Forestry	
25	John Roussel, Department of Wildlife & Fisheries	
26	Bo Bolourchi, Department of Transportation & Development	
27	Karen Irion, Department of Health & Hospitals	
28	Mike Bourgeois, Louisiana Landowners Association	
29	Walter Savage, Police Jury Association	
3 0	Richard Durrett, Sparta Groundwater Conservation District	

1		AGENDA
2		
3	I.	Call to Order - James Welsh
4	II.	Overview of Act 49 (2003)
5	III.	Ground Water Resources Division
6	IV.	Commission Charge to Task Force
7	V.	Public Comments
8	VI.	Schedule for Next Meeting
9	VII.	Adjourn
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		

2

3

GROUND WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING

MARCH 26, 2004

& THE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT ADVISORY TASK FORCE

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

It's a little past 9:30, so let's get started. have kind of an organizational pickle going on here. the statute, what the statute says is that the Commissioner from the Governor's Office shall be the chairman of this Commission, and he's not here. maybe assuming a lot, but I guess I should take over in his absence. I'm Jim Welsh, the Commissioner of Conservation, and as you know, the Commission and the Task Force both are within the organization of the Office of Conservation. So with no objection from anybody, I'll just proceed on, and we'll do the best we can today. I do know that Jim Marchand, who was with Governor's Office, is, as we speak, the official Governor's Office designee, but that was under Governor Foster, and, you know, he's still the representative, but there may be a change there. We have a new Jim Marchand, if you will. His name is Scott Kirkpatrick, and he should be here this morning in a little while. He is an attorney with the Governor's Office, and he is our contact person for when DNR and other agencies need to talk to the Governor's Office. He's the guy we go through, but, anyway, he will be here a little later, and we'll introduce him at that time. I think probably what we should start off doing is the Commission Members are up here, and it looks like

1 we've got a pretty good turnout, some old faces, some new 2 Maybe we could start over on my right, and we 3 could all introduce ourselves and tell us who we're with 4 and a little bit about each other. 5 COMMISSIONER GULOTTA: 6 I'm Mark Gulotta, Mayor of the City of Plaquemine. 7 I'm up here representing the Louisiana Municipal 8 Association. 9 COMMISSIONER WALKER: 10 I'm Linda Walker with the League of Women Voters of 11 Louisiana, and I'm representing the League and the 12 Coalition to Restore Coastal Louisiana and the Louisiana 13 Wildlife Federation. 14 COMMISSIONER KNOCHENMUS: 15 Darwin Knochenmus, I represent Capital Area 16 Groundwater Conservation District. 17 COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER: 18 Linda Zaunbrecher, Louisiana Farm Bureau. 19 COMMISSIONER CHUSTZ: 2.0 Steve Chustz, Department of Environmental Quality. 2.1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 2.2 Mike Taylor, Louisiana Department of Economic 23 Development. 2.4 COMMISSIONER SPICER: 25 Brad Spicer, representing the Commissioner of 26 Agriculture and Forestry and Administrator of the State's 2.7 Soil and Water Conservation Program. 2.8

COMMISSIONER ROUSSEL:

29

30

John Roussel, I'm the Assistant Secretary of the

2 Secretary's designee.

3

COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:

4 5

I'm Bo Bolourchi, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Works, Water Resources Division, and I represent the Department of Transportation.

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries and serving as the

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1 2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

COMMISSIONER IRION: I'm Karen Irion, Administrator of the Safe Drinking

Water Program and representing the Department of Health and Hospitals.

COMMISSIONER BOURGEOIS:

Mike Bourgeois of the Louisiana Landowners Association.

COMMISSIONER SAVAGE:

Walter Savage, Louisiana Police Jury Association.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Richard Durrett, representing the Sparta Groundwater Conservation District.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. Very good. It's a great turnout. appreciate that. I know it's a big effort to get here. And this is also a joint meeting of the Task Force, Ground Water Task Force, and members of the task force are in the audience, and I don't mean to discriminate, but we just don't have enough room up here, so we just did the best we could. And I guess let's start on -- I'd like for each Task Force member to stand and identify themselves as well, and maybe we could start -- let's just go from left to right, and if you're on the Task

1	Force could you identify yourself, please.			
2	MR. PREAU:			
3	Ed Preau with the Louisiana Department of			
4	Transportation and Development representing the Red Rive			
5	Compact Commission.			
6	MR. PAUL:			
7	I'm Britt Paul with the Natural Resource			
8	Conservation Service. I'm representing Mr. Don Gohmert			
9	here today.			
10	MR. WASCOM:			
11	I'm Mike Wascom. I'm with LSU. I'm representing			
12	Citizens for a Clean Environment.			
13	MR. LANCTOT:			
14	I'm Randy Lanctot. I represent the Louisiana			
15	Wildlife Federation.			
16	SENATOR MALONE:			
17	Senator Max T. Malone. I'm the Chairman of the			
18	Senate Natural Resources Committee.			
19	MR. DeHON:			
20	Renee DeHon, UL from Monroe.			
21	MR. DUEX:			
22				
23	Tim Duex, UL-Lafayette.			
24	MR. HANSON:			
25	I'm Brad Hanson representing the Louisiana			
26	Geological Survey.			
27	MR. HANSON:			
28	Gary Hanson, LSU in Shreveport.			
29	MR. OWEN:			

I'm Eugene Owen, representing the Municipal Water

1 Association. 2 MR. GUIDRY: 3 I'm George Guidry with Georgia-Pacific representing 4 the Louisiana Pulp and Paper Association. 5 MR. STAHR: 6 I'm Charlie Stahr representing the Pulp and Paper 7 Association. 8 MR. GOREE: 9 Mike Goree, I'm representing here -- I'm serving as 10 the Irrigation Association's representative and also 11 representing the newly-created Louisiana Irrigation 12 Association. 13 MR. PRATT: 14 I'm Jim Pratt representing Sabine River Authority. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: 16 Denny Williams representing the Association of 17 Electric Utilities. 18 MR. RODI: 19 I'm Ron Rodi representing the Louisiana Engineering 2.0 Society. 2.1 MR. BRANCH: 2.2 I'm Bill Branch, LSU-Ag Center, Chancellor's Office. 23 MR RICHARDSON: 2.4 Bill Richardson, LSU Ag Center. 25 MR. NELSON: 26 Jim Nelson, Louisiana Tech/Engineering Department. 27 MR. COLEMAN: 2.8 Gene Coleman, representing the Sparta Commission. 29

MR. LITTERAL:

30

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3 2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

Charles Litteral, I reside in Jennings, Louisiana.

I represent the Louisiana Cattlemen's Association.

MR. SMITH:

Morgan Smith, I'm on the Sparta Board, but I'm representing the Louisiana Rice Growers.

MR. BARR:

Jesse Barr, representing Louisiana Cotton Producers.

MR. McCANN:

Kyle McCann, representing the Louisiana Farm Bureau Federation.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. Great turnout. We appreciate it very much, and I quess we need to recognize Representative N. J. Damico.

REPRESENTATIVE DAMICO:

You were going too fast, I couldn't catch up. I'm Representative Damico, Chairman of the Environmental Committee, and I have my secretary with me, Ms. Terry deBen.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Nice to have you, Mr. Damico. Okay. With that, I think it's probably timely to introduce Tony Duplechin. Tony is the director of the new Ground Water Resources Division in the Office of Conservation. Tony is the -that's the new division that was created pursuant to the 2003 legislation that was passed and authorized the Office of Conservation to set up this Division to handle the responsibilities of the Ground Water management in Louisiana, so we've done that. Tony is going to pretty

much control the agenda here. Does everybody have copies of the agenda, Tony?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.8

All the Commissioners had copies placed at their place, and hopefully members of the Task Force picked it up from the table outside.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. Well, Tony, why don't you start with introducing your staff and, you know, because these are the people that are going to be the technical people and the administrative people that are going to be managing the Ground Water Division, so, Tony, do you want to take it up?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Okay. I wanted to start off by thanking everyone for taking the time to come out this morning. This really is a good turnout, and I know some people had conflicts with other meetings. Some of you already know, members of my staff have increased a little bit since we were last together. Tim Seiler is the geologist who's been working with us since 2001 when we first got started under Act 446, and Tim has got a real good knowledge of ground water because he's worked in the private sector and worked in the private sector with the development of remediation plans and evaluation of groundwater facilities. His duties here include evaluating the impacts of proposed well installations, reviewing peripheral ground water applications, analyzing available geologic data on Louisiana's ground water and alternate

sources of water and developing rules and regulations for the program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

Our new geologist is Bill Walter. He's new to the program, but he's not new to the Office of Conservation or the world of ground water. Bill spent time logging wells with Schlumberger when he got out of college and then worked for U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, here in Baton Rouge. In 1981 he joined the Office of Conservation working with the underground injection program. He ventured out in 1996 and spent some time with the water resources of the Department of Transportation and Development and then decided he wanted to get involved a little bit in computer programming, so he spent two and a half years with the Louisiana State Employees Retirement System, LASERS, and I was able to talk him out of that job and back over here since I really needed someone who knew ground water and knew database development as well. And his work has included being an advisor on ground water issues and working with us to develop our ground water management program and database.

Charlotte Hardison is our Administrative Specialist. She graduated from LSU in 1975 and has worked off and on in state government since then. In addition to her numerous administrative duties, she has experience in program development.

And as for myself, I started working with the U.S. Geological Survey here in Baton Rouge when I got out of college and came over to the Office of Conservation in

1981 after Louisiana had initially received primacy for its coal mine regulatory program. In 2001, I was asked to serve as Chief of Staff of the Ground Water Management Commission, and in July of 2003 became Director of the new Ground Water Resources Division here in Conservation. So that will bring you up to date on who the players are from this side at any rate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

I would like to go over what some of the duties and responsibilities of the Office of Conservation, the Ground Water Resources Commission and the Task Force are, and I'll start off with the Office of Conservation. of this is found in Act 49 which I've placed a copy for each Commissioner at their place. Okay. Commissioner of Conservation is responsible for the administration of all matters related to the management of the State's ground water resources. He has the authority to make any reasonable rules, regulations and orders for the following purposes: to do all things necessary to prevent the waste of water resources; to prevent or alleviate damaging or potentially damaging saltwater movement or water level decline and loss of sustainability in our aquifers; to prevent subsidence of the land surface caused by withdrawal of the ground water; to require registration of all new wells by the owners; to ensure efficient and effective collection of well data through cooperation with the Department of Transportation; and registration of these wells will be in the form of a notice of intent to drill submitted to the Commissioner at least 60 days prior to the drilling

of the well, and once again, this is by the well owner. The following types of wells are exempt from the prior notification requirement: domestic wells, replacement wells, drilling rig supply wells, drought relief wells and any other well that the Commissioner may exempt for just cause. And the notification for these wells must be submitted to the Commissioner within 60 days following the installation of the well. The Commissioner is authorized to collect data with respect to water wells and water resources and continue the development of a state water ground water resource management program, which will include but not be limited to an evaluation of the State's water resources, development of a water use conservation plan, study of alternatives to water use, the stress of conservation as the primary mechanism for protection of the State's ground water resources, and development of a contingency plan to respond to ground water emergencies. He's authorized to authorize the use of temporary drought relief wells for agriculture in times of drought and to enter into interagency agreements and interstate compacts in order to manage ground water resources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

The duties and responsibilities of the Commission are as follows: To review and approve or reject any orders of the Commissioner placing restrictions on wells upon petition by the owner of the affected well or proposed well or any owner of a well in the same aquifer which may be adversely impacted by a well in question.

Now, in such cases, the Commissioner would not serve as a

voting member of the Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

The Commission is also to review rules and regulations proposed by the Commissioner pursuant to proper administration and enforcement of the program. They are to assist in the continued development in cooperation with the Commissioner of a statewide ground water resource management program and to review any contingency plans developed by the Commissioner in response to ground water emergencies. The Commission may direct the Commissioner to promulgate rules and regulations for the appointment or designation up to five regional bodies based upon the general locations of the major aguifer systems and water sources of the State. And finally, at their discretion, the Commission is authorized to attend all public meetings called by the Commissioner pursuant to his power and duties in the law.

The Ground Water Management Advisory Task Force shall assist the Commissioner of Conservation and the Ground Water Resource Commission in continuing to develop the statewide ground water resource management program. The plans should stress conservation as a primary mechanism for the protection of the State's ground water resources, and the Task Force is the same for the Commission, shall meet at least once per quarter or more frequently as necessary. Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Good. Moving right along, I'd like to go over some of the accomplishments that the Division has made since

1 we started off on July 1st of last year. The Division 2 has been very active since its inception. One of the 3 most important functions of the new division is to inform 4 the public of the new requirements for owners of wells to notify the Office of Conservation of the wells and pending installation. So far, we have sent out 7 approximately 3500 letters, brochures and notification forms to well owners, water well drillers, industry and local government, to inform them of the requirements of 10 Act 49, and I've put copies of all of these at your place

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

as well.

It's also important to keep Members of the Commission, Task Force and any other interested parties informed of what's going on. We do this via e-mail mostly, and Charlotte has prepared some forms. there was one put out at each Commissioner's place, and there were some at the table that we ask you to fill out with the pertinent information so that we can update our mailing list and database and keep in contact with everybody.

The Division staff has also made presentations to several groups and manned booths at several meetings since we came into being on July 1st. These have included: Louisiana Farm Bureau, Sparta Groundwater Conservation District, Capital Area Ground Water Conservation District, the St. Tammany League of Women Voters, the Louisiana Water Technology Conference, The Louisiana Association of Conservation Districts, the DEO Ground Water Advisory Group, the DEQ Conference on Waste

2.2

2.3

and the Environment, and the Louisiana Conference on Water Supply, Sewage and Industrial Waste. And we also plan on having a booth at Baton Rouge's Annual Earth Day Celebration on April 18th where we will have information on what the program is about, what we're doing, and the importance of conserving our water resources.

Since July 1st, the Division has received some 300 water well notification forms. To keep track of the water wells that must be reported to the Division under Act 49, the Division Staff has been working closely with the Department of Natural Resources, Information

Technology Division, to develop several databases. The staff also has used well registrations provided by the water well drillers to DOTD and compared them to the information sheet that we received. We've also worked with our IT Division to keep our website up to date.

As we've already stated, Act 49 created the Ground Water Resources Commission within the Office of Conservation, and the Task Force as well, and as I said before, we will endeavor to keep everyone informed of what's going on. The Division has also assisted the other divisions in the Office of Conservation in the review of several State projects and any effect they may have on ground water resources in the area in which they're being conducted. To date, approximately some 45 such reviews have been conducted. Assistance has also been rendered to the LOC Engineering Division and some of their reviews of the Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve, and the Division has played a part in

2.7

2.8

_

determining the extent of fresh ground water in the Cameron Meadow Field as part of the Office of Conservation's review of alleged problems in that area.

Act 49 makes the Commissioner of Conservation responsible for the determination of critical ground water areas within the state. To this end, the Division staff has worked on updating the rules that had been promulgated for this under Act 446. The Commissioner this week issued emergency rules for critical ground water area applications, public hearings and water well notification requirements. And permanent rules were published in this month's issue of the Louisiana Register and are currently undergoing the process under the Administrative Procedure Act.

And at this time I'll ask Tim Seiler to come up. He has been working on these rules really ever since we first got started under Act 446, and he will explain some of the differences between the rules that are in place now under Act 446 and the emergency rule and the proposed rules that were published this month.

MR. SEILER:

Good morning. I'm Tim Seiler, the Staff Geologist from the Ground Water Resources Division. As we all know, Act 49 of the 2003 Legislative Session changes the primary authority for the ground water management from Ground Water Management Commission to the Commissioner of Conservation. The authorities granted to the Commissioner are outlined in Revised Statute Title 38:3097.3, or page 11 of the Act.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

One of the authorities granted to the Commission pursuant to this section is the authority to make reasonable rules and regulations for the proper administration and enforcement of Act 49. Since Act 49 changes the primary authority for Ground Water Management, the rules promulgated under Act 446 of the 2001 legislature are no longer technically accurate. Therefore, a notice of intent was published in the March 2004 issue of the Louisiana Register, which is also available for review on the Office of State Register's website. A link to the State Register's website is located on the Ground Water Resources Division website.

The changes presented in the NOI filed with the Office of State Register this month primarily fall under five general categories: 1) the changes of authority references from commission to commissioner; 2) the rewording of certain definitions and sections to agree with Act 49; 3) minor editorial corrections such as more properly codifying sections and spelling out acronyms; 4) insert sections for the removal or modification of a ground water area designation, the requirements of which parallel the requirements for the designation of a critical ground water area; and 5) the additions of a few all new sections regarding appeals and water well notification requirements by owner. We're now in the public comment phase which will last until April 27, 2004, at 4:30 p.m. Interested persons may submit written comments by mail or fax until then or call the Ground Water Resources Division. The contact information is

provided in the NOI.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.8

On April 29, 2004, a hearing on the NOI will be held at 9:00 a.m. in the Conservation and Mineral Resources hearing room, or the La Belle room right here. After the hearing, the Ground Water Resources Division will file a second report with the Oversight Committee. There is no mandated time frame by the APA to get the report to the Committee, however, to meet the June 10th deadline to insert the rule for the June 2004 publication, the second report should be submitted no later than May 5, 2004.

Within approximately 30 days of this submittal, the Oversight Committee may hold a committee hearing to review the rules. Thirty days from May 5th brings us to June 4th. Should the Oversight Committee meeting be held on June 4th and issue a favorable review and no substantive changes are made, the Division would have six days to finalize the rules and submit it to the Office of State Register for the June 2004 publication. The rule would then be promulgated on the date of publication.

Should the Committee issue a nonfavorable review, the Committee has four days to file a report with the State Register's Office, the Agency and the Governor. The Governor has ten days to approve or disapprove the Committee's findings. If the Governor holds up the disapproval, then the whole process needs to start over again from going to the financial office to resubmitting the NOI.

If there are any substantive changes made to the NOI, another public hearing is required 30 days after the

notice is published in the Louisiana Register and another
report to the Oversight Committee. In other words, the
whole process starts all over if it's disapproved. I'll
pass out copies of the old rule. I believe in your
package you have copies of the Notice of Intent, but if
anybody wants to make any comparison or have any
questions with the changes that I've made.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Don't we already have a copy?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

No, you have a copy of the old rule.

MR. SEILER:

I'm passing out copies of the old rule that was promulgated under 446. The rule that you all have, I believe, is the notice of intent and maybe a copy of the declaration of emergency that's been recently published. The declaration of emergency was submitted to the Office of State Register on March 23rd, and is on our website as of March 23rd and will be published in the NOI -- I mean, in the Louisiana Register -- in April.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Does anybody have any questions for Tim? Thank you. Continue on.

COMMISSIONER WALKER:

Tim?

MR. SEILER:

Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER WALKER:

I have a question. Would you go into a little bit

more about what you were talking about, number four, the designation changes.

3

MR. SEILER:

4

Yes.

5

COMMISSIONER WALKER:

6

Point out where they are.

7

MR. SEILER:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

If you'll look at Chapter 3, Section 3 -- or 303 --Paragraph B -- or actually Subsection B rather -- you'll see, "The notice of intent to apply for the removal or modification of a critical ground water area destination shall be published in the official parish journal," et cetera. It basically parallels Section A, or Subsection A, which was in the original rule under 446. Basically, you've got to give the public a way out of a critical area as well as going into it. I mean, that's what the removal and modification is. As time goes on and the situation improves, the same restrictions may not apply or be necessary. They need a way to back away from it.

COMMISSIONER WALKER:

Thank you.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Thank you, Tim. The next item on the agenda is an update on the Sparta Critical Ground Water area destination application. So I'd like to go to the timeline of the application for the benefit of those who weren't able to follow everything up to this date on it.

On June 6, 2002, a notice of intent was published. This notice of intent was published in the parish

2.4

by the application. This was published by the applicant, which was the Sparta Groundwater District.

On July 25th, the Sparta Groundwater Conservation

journals of the parishes which were going to be affected

On July 25th, the Sparta Groundwater Conservation District Commission's application for designation of ground water -- critical ground water area -- was received by Ground Water Management Commission Staff.

On August 7th, the staff requested of the Sparta Commission additional information for the application. This additional information was received on August 16th. On August 23rd, the application was deemed to be administratively complete.

On October 9th, and initial public hearing was scheduled at the Ruston High School auditorium. Notice of hearing was mailed to each official parish journal in the parishes affected by the application. A CD-Rom or hard copy of the Sparta Groundwater Conservation District Commission's application along with all supporting information was mailed out to the libraries and police juries in the area under the petition.

On October 16th, the applicant confirmed the receipt by the libraries and police juries of this information.

On October 23rd, the Office contacted all the libraries and police juries to verify this, and on November 19th, the hearing was held, and I know some of you were at that hearing, and it lasted some 2-1/2 hours, and we had 300 people in attendance. No decision was made by the Ground Water Management Commission on the application.

As the calendar wound down towards July 1st of 2003,

_ ′

the Sparta Commission sent a letter to the Office of Conservation asking that the application be considered under the new law, Act 49. The Office replied to the Commission saying that the Office would consider the application and that the staff would continue to review it. In one part of the letter, we stated that the findings would be presented to this Commission prior to an order being issued.

In looking at that over the past few weeks, it was decided by Conservation's legal counsel that that may be ex parte discussions on this application, so those findings will not be presented to this Commission. The order will be issued, and then there will be public hearings held and a chance for comment on that order. So that's where the review of that application stands right now.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

So you don't have any definite timeline for --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

I would say by the time the next quarter is up, I would hope to have the findings finalized and the order, proposed order, given the Commissioner of Conservation.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Mr. Commissioner, can I ask a question?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Sure. Yes, sir, Mr. Durrett. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

First of all, let me say that the time involved, this Sparta Commission is very disappointed in the time

1 it's taken. I think when we met last year we were going 2 to get an answer by May, and we didn't get an answer, 3 yeah or nay. So the question now is when is this order -4 - what is the time involved that you will issue the order 5 or that there will be an order? 6 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 7 Well, I knew that that's a question from a lot of 8 people, and Tony just replied within the next quarter. 9 MR. DUPLECHIN: 10 Right. 11 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 12 There should be a draft order that will be subject 13 to public hearings. They're going to be held in the 14 local area -- well, do you want to explain? 15 MR. DUPLECHIN: 16 Look in the emergency rules that went into effect 17 this week. It covers how we proceed. 18 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 19 I understand that but we've got to get to that 2.0 point. What do you mean by the next quarter? Can you --2.1 MR. DUPLECHIN: 2.2 The next calendar quarter. 23 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 2.4 So that's about July -- by June, the end of June? 25 MR. DUPLECHIN: 26 By the end of June. 27 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 2.8 Is there more information that needs to be supplied, 29

30

or what is the --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

I think we can address that by the time this comment period is over.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I think the application was determined to be complete, and we had initial hearings, and I believe, you know, all the evidence that, you know, was to be received, we had it, so the application is ready to be acted on.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

That's almost two years it was complete. August of '02 it was complete.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Right.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Okay.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

There were, you know, complicated things with the changes and the administration, the difference in the old statute and the new statute. It was a bit confusing. We were organizing the Division to handle this, so there were a lot of unusual delays in this application, but I think we're set now to move forward with it.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

So it's nothing we can do to speed up the process?

So there is no more information we can provide or anything else we need to do?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

No, there's no more information, Mr. Durrett. Tony

1	and his staff will move as quickly as possible, I assume,		
2	on it.		
3	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
4	Yes, sir.		
5	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
6	Okay.		
7	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:		
8	Just to clarify in my mind, the new rules or the new		
9	regulations don't change the information that we supplied		
10	or the evaluation of the information we supplied, does		
11	it?		
12	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
13	No.		
14	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
15	No. Not that I'm aware of, no, sir.		
16	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:		
17	Okay. Then I'm not sure I understand why it's going		
18	to take another quarter to evaluate it.		
19	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
20	Tony?		
21	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
22			
23	By no later than the end of this quarter.		
24	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:		
25	The end of the next quarter?		
26	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
27	The end of the next quarter, April, May, June.		
28	Those are good questions, and we appreciate them very		
29	much.		

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

2.2

2.7

Is that recommendation, that means it's going to come to this Commission, or the orders or how?

The order will be issued -- the draft order will be issued by the Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

To?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

To the Sparta Groundwater Conservation Commission.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Okay.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

And then explain the detail, the procedure after the draft order is issued.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Okay. The initial hearing, as we said, was held on November 19th of 2002. After hearings are held, the Commissioner shall issue a written decision in the form of a draft order based on scientifically sound data gathered from the application, the participants in the public hearing and any other relevant information. The draft order shall contain a statement of findings and shall include but not be limited to the designation of the critical ground water area boundaries, the recommended plans to preserve and manage the ground water resources of the critical ground water area, and the Commissioner shall make the draft order and proposed plan to preserve and manage ground water resources of the proposed critical ground water area available to the

1 applicant, participants in the original application 2 hearing and any other persons requesting a copy. The 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

14

12

13

16

15

17

18

19 2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29 30 Commissioner will then initiate hearings on the draft order and proposed management controls in the locality of those affected by the Commissioner's draft order. Commissioner shall adopt final orders and a plan to preserve and manage the ground water resources after completion of these hearings. Final orders will be made part of the permanent record of the Commissioner and shall be made available to the public upon request. critical ground water area designation orders may be appealed only to the 19th Judicial District Court. COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

But after those hearings are held, there's no

timeline involved in when the decision will be made,

right?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I didn't hear any timeline. I think, Mr. Durrett, that the time really in actuality would be determined by the comments received and the depth of additional work that staff might need to do. I mean, it's hard to -there's no time line in some of the other oil and gas orders. You know, we try to do things within 30 days. You know, that's a reasonable time in my opinion to try and get a final order out, but it would be case specific.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

I agree with you. I just think the time that we've had since we've had the public hearing to this point has been a little lengthy.

1	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
2	Yes, sir. Okay. Thank you. Tony, a question. I'm		
3	not familiar with all of the standard operating		
4	procedures in the Office of Conservation. The draft		
5	order is not a normal rulemaking process?		
6	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
7	No.		
8	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
9	That goes through APA. It's just an order is		
10	issued?		
11	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
12	Right. The rules that allow the Commissioner to do		
13	things are and that goes through the APA.		
14			
15	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
16	Okay.		
17	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
18	That's what that's the rules.		
19	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
20	That sets the framework up?		
21	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
22	For the register, yes, sir.		
23	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		
24	I'm just trying to clarify. My agency, basically		
25	everything is through a full promulgated rule, but this		
26	is a framework to make a decision basically.		
27	MR. DUPLECHIN:		
28	Yes.		
29	COMMISSIONER WELSH:		

Okay.

1 MR. DUPLECHIN: 2 The next thing I'd like to go over is the 3 Commission's charge to the Task Force, which is assisting 4 in the development of the statewide groundwater 5 management program. 6 7

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Tony, could I ask you something?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Is -- I believe you said a minute ago that a function of the Commission is to review the rules that are --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Yes.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

-- proposed by the Commissioner, and we have proposed rules now.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Right.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

So have we officially asked the Commission to review his rules?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

I have not sent notification to them, but that is a good idea. We could send that out, and they can comment within the comment period set up by APA.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

But I guess it would be within the timeframe of the

administrative procedure act that we go through to
promulgate any rules, you know, for the State, and all
these rules are subject to legislative committee
oversight and, you know, it would be, you know, just the
normal way rules are promulgated. So the Commission also
should -- may or may not have comments on the proposed
rules.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Can I ask another question related to that?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Do the new rules that you have proposed here affect our application for critical destination in that what we've done so far is not going to have to be redone?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

No, sir, the application won't have to be redone under what has been proposed.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Okay.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I think it's usually --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

It's what the procedure is. The requirements of what has to be in the application hasn't changed.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

But the procedure, the public hearing we've already had, will suffice. We're not going to go back to the start?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.7

2.8

Right. They don't have to have another additional public hearing.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Okay.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

As you know, the Task Force was recreated by Act 49, and everyone that participated on the Task Force between 2001 and 2003 I think really did a very good job getting together in the committees that were formed and hopefully will continue to do so. Although, the committees that were formed under the old Task Force and hope to pick up again under this task force, the Public Supply Committee came up with the responsibility for assisting in the general needs of the municipal water supply districts and the area's specific emergency response alternatives.

The Economic Development Committee is responsible for assisting in the effects of economic development in critical ground water areas as a result of proposed management rules. The Policy Committee for assisting in formulating the rules and regulations to manage a critical ground water area. The Technical Committee is responsible for assisting in the review of critical ground water area determinations. The Ecology Committee is responsible for assisting in determining the effect on the ecology and/or environment, especially the effect on natural habitats of fish, wildlife and recreation in response to proposed ground and surface water use in critical ground water areas and to note the need for the

availability of technical expertise of personnel from the Departments of Wildlife and Fisheries, Environmental Quality and Health and Hospitals. The Surface and Groundwater Committee is responsible for assisting in understanding the overall surface and ground water use within each jurisdiction including the volume of surface water available for conversion to drinking water and other water use. The Agriculture Committee's goal is to ensure adequate water resources, both ground and surface, for our state's crop demand by cooperating with sustaining aguifer and stream flow health and exploring incentives for conservation of all water resources. Industrial Committee is responsible for determining the current industrial use of ground and surface water, forecasting water use and quality needs of ground water and surface water, exploring incentives for a switch from ground water to surface water, identifying impediments or consequences to switch from ground water to surface water, participate in data and model verification and in the evaluation of new technologies. And the Outreach Committee is responsible for assisting in educating the public on why we need a comprehensive state water policy and why water conservation is important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

And I know we have almost half of the Task Force here today, so I hope to touch base with them afterwards and see about getting these committees back together again and hopefully getting the Task Force to meet as a group within the next month or so to continue with these efforts.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

The statutes are pretty clear on who chairs the Commission, but it's pretty much unclear as to the organization of the Task Force.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Right.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

And just a suggestion that the Task Force needs to organize and get maybe a contact person, if you want to call it that or something. I don't have any particular guidance there. Maybe some other Commission Members might, but I think it's important to at least have a contact person that -- you know, we've got 49 members in the Task Force. That's almost an unmanageable group, in my opinion, in my humble opinion as I said, so it needs to have some kind of an organization in and of itself.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

And we will work on that.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

One item we left off of the agenda, and it was oversight on my part, was for public comments. So if it's okay with the Commission, I know someone from the public would like to make some comments to the Commission. So if there are no objections.

MR. ROWLAND:

Thank you, Commissioners and Anthony. My name is Marty Rowland. I'm a civil environmental engineer

representing myself at this point. I made a presentation to the Governor's Task Force in early 2001 concerning research I had performed as part of my dissertation at the University of New Orleans, and what I have for each of the Commission Members is an update of information that I presented back in 2001. In fact, this is the same report I presented to the Baton Rouge Geological society in December 2003.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

Essentially, what I've done in this report is taken publicly available published data looking at the sustainability of the Sparta Aquifer Wells, the Southern Hills Wells from the Baton Rouge and the Chicot Aquifer and updating essentially a report the USGS has published dated 2002, Tomaszewski, Lovelace and Ensminger, and in that report, if you use the criteria that Arkansas uses for critical ground water areas being overall downgraded one foot per year increase, all three of the major aquifers outside of the Mississippi River Alluvial Aguifer is a critical area. The USGS report that I updated identify that all those three aquifers I mentioned are -- well, there are wells in those areas where the levels and the rates are greater than one foot per year. What I -- where report went from 1990 to 2000, I took the same data and went from 1995 to October 2003, and show from this published data that the rates are actually worse than what the USGS have identified.

So what I'm doing is not just waving a flag and saying everything is terrible. What I'm trying to do is suggest to the Commission that you take some performance

1

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0 2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

COMMISSIONER WELSH: 30

measure such as the feet per year drawdown as a performance measure on the health of the aquifer and on a periodic basis look at selected wells and everybody agree that these are appropriate wells to look at and say are we achieving sustainability, and if not, suggest ways that we can improve. It's just a matter of if we have a Commission, a Department and a Task Force with a charge to come up with ground water policy to achieve sustainability, you have to have some kind of measurement so that you look at point-to-point and say, you know, we're not there yet. Let's try this and that for a period of time and you say, yes, we've got that.

What I'd like to do is thank you for the opportunity to present what I give to you, and if there are any questions after I give this to the Commission, I'd be more than happy to take any questions or to come back the next time.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. We appreciate you sharing that with us. you going to pass those out? I think the Task Force might be interested in that, too. We could make more copies.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

We'll get it to them. We'll make copies available to the Task Force.

MR. ROWLAND:

If there is anybody in the audience who wants a copy, if they give me their business card.

Okay. Thank you. And I guess if they need to contact you, you'll be here a few minutes after the meeting and they can get your name and address. Suzette, are you going to get his name and address? Okay. That will be good. Okay, Tony.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

The final -- well, are there any more public comments? Would anyone from the Task Force like to make a comment?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Yes, sir. Come up and identify yourself, please.

MR. OWEN:

Mr. Chairman, my name is Eugene Owen. I'm a member of the Task Force and served last time on the Task Force as chairman of the Public Supply Committee as well as the Economic Development Committee. What I'd like to do is to challenge the Commission in its administration of this act and the intent behind the act to foster a policy which can develop a better use of our natural resources which are abundant with respect to water rather than presiding over a group of rules which just simply addresses a potential scarcity as it may develop either now or in the future.

I think that there are three principal competitors for our natural resources in the field of water. One is agriculture, the second is industry, and the third is public supply. To some extent, each of these competitors can avail itself of surface water or ground water. In some areas, surface water is not abundantly available.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Thank you, sir. Those were very good comments. We

Fortunately, this state has abundant resources in all of those areas so that we can truthfully say that we have abundant water resources. But in using the water resources naturally, the first use is always the most economical, the cheapest use of water, and that's the American system. We develop our cheapest resources first, and if the development of the cheapest resources constitutes a looming scarcity, then we have to address I think this Commission has a unique opportunity to develop a series of policies which will simultaneously preside over an economy of abundance in making a better use of our resources and encouraging policies which can encourage a tradeoff of the use of ground water as opposed to surface water, and I think you can do it in the context of encouraging economic development as opposed to penalizing economic development by restricted policies.

So my hope is that this Commission will foster a drive toward developing policies which will promote this economy of abundance rather than an economy of scarcity and presiding over a scarcity of resources, and I can assure you that from what I've observed in the last couple of years, the Task Force, to the extent that is empowered to do so, is capable of and there are people on there that have the ideas that can put forward the kind of policies that can make it possible to do exactly what I am suggesting. Thank you.

1 appreciate it. Anyone else wish to make a statement? 2 Comments? 3 (No response.) 4 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 5 Okay, Tony, go ahead. 6 MR. DUPLECHIN: 7 The final agenda item is scheduling the next 8 meeting. 9 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 10 We've got one more. 11 MR. DUPLECHIN: 12 Okay. Sorry. 13 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 14 That's all right. 15

MR. BRANCH:

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

Bill Branch, LSU Ag Center. In the last previous couple of years, the Governor's representative has pretty much scheduled a task force to meet with the Commission, and then the individual committees have scheduled their own meetings as they've felt necessary. Couples who were just talking thought that if we need to look at a new procedure, perhaps those of us on the Task Force could meet after the Commission has adjourned today and talk about organizing.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

That's a great idea, yes. That's kind of where we were headed, I think, so good idea. So the Task Force will have a meeting and try and get organized and whatever. So good idea, Bill. Go ahead.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

2 Any other comments?

(No response.)

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Okay. Dovetailing in with that final agenda item is schedule for next meeting. As stated previously, Act 49 requires the Commission to meet quarterly, so April, May, June. I'm not sure what other meetings are scheduled right now.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Do you have a suggested date? It's awful hard to -- MR. DUPLECHIN:

It is very hard to even on a one-month time frame to plan a meeting ahead. Would the Commission rather that we look at the calendar and give out a date? I know the session is going to be starting here before too long, and it will be over the third week in June.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Fine.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Would the Commission rather wait until after the session is over to get back together?

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Yes, I would agree to that. Let's meet after the session.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

30 That's a good idea.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

So why don't we shoot for sometime between the 22nd and 30th of June? Any day of the week preferential for Commission Members? Friday seems to have gotten --

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I don't like Fridays.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Can I make one comment? I don't know about the day of the week, but --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Time of day?

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

-- time of the day would be easier for some of us that have to ride so far if it was like we were meeting, at 1:30, 1:00 or 1:30.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I think that's a good idea, because some people have to travel, and it's a burden to get here at 9:00 or 10:00, at 9:30 or whatever. I definitely think afternoons would be better. I know that as far as conservation goes, Tuesdays are pretty much out for this room. We have oil and gas hearings every Tuesday. And I think our pipeline division and injection and mining division use this room on Thursdays, but I can't speak for other agencies. That's just right now what we do.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Well, why don't we tentatively look at Wednesday, June 23rd, at 1:30 in the afternoon.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

2.8

29

30

MR. DUPLECHIN:

And we'll see what else is going on that day.

All I can say, that looks good at this point.

COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

Jim, could we ask the Commission for -- I mean, a little housekeeping-type input, I've got a couple things I'd like to ask.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Sure. I'm sorry. I need to do that, yes. Commissioners are free to speak up when they want. Linda, you want to --

COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

Well, one thing, when we meet again, I'd like to have a legislative update and report because of the legislation. I think that's real important. And I was just asking Mr. Gulotta here who's new -- I mean, we have new people, and they've not been with us for the whole time, and he doesn't have a copy of C.H. Fenstermaker's report. I think that's crucial to have that information in everybody's hands. That's it.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. Do we have extra copies of those, Tony? MR. DUPLECHIN:

No, we don't, but we have copies of it on CD-Rom if that would be sufficient.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I think that would certainly be a good thing to give all the Commission Members, Task Force Members, too.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

_

We'll send copies of it to all the Commission

Members again, and it should still be accessible through

our web site. I will double-check that.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

We do have a very good web site, by the way, and I think Tony has a lot going on his part of it, so it will quickly fill up now, I'm sure, and --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

For those of you that don't know, our web site has copies of the transcripts of all of the Commission hearings since day one, a schedule of when new meetings are, as well as relevant information, copies of the Act, copies of the rules, proposed rules.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. That's a good way to quickly inform everyone. Do we have any other business that we need to talk about today? Anybody have any comments? Well, I want to thank the --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

I want to once more remind everyone to fill out those information sheets and either leave them on the table up here or get them to Charlotte so that we can update our mailing list and database to keep in touch.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Okay. I want to again thank everyone for coming, and I believe the Task Force wanted to get together for a short meeting, and thank you again, and the meeting is adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I, LYNDA D. HABIG, Certified Court Reporter, do
hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was held on
March 26, 2004, in the LaBelle Room, 617 North Third
Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; that I did report the
proceedings thereof; that the foregoing pages, numbered 1
through 43, inclusive, constitute a true and correct
transcript of the proceedings thereof.

LYNDA D. HABIG, CCR #95081

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER